UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,565	07/18/2006	Danny Lavie	NAPLVE-7147US	6516
28481 TIAJOLOFF &	7590 11/17/200 KELLY	EXAMINER		
CHRYSLER B	UILDING, 37TH FLO	KRAUSE, ANDREW E		
405 LEXINGTO NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/586,565	LAVIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANDREW KRAUSE	4152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	-· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
oloood in absordance with the practice differ E	x parte quayre, 1000 o.b. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 and 9-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/18/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/586,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 4152

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-6, 9-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected apparatus, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/29/08.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 7-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wittenborg (US #4,388,338).
- 4. **Regarding claim 7,** Wittenborg discloses a method for applying content on the surface of a beverage comprising:
 - a. Agitating the surface of the beverage (column 2, lines 64-68, the surface is agitated by being sprayed with pressurized liquid) in a pattern correlated to the content (column 3, lines 38-45), by using a content application head (nozzle head, column 2, line 66).
- 5. **Regarding claim 8**, Wittenborg further discloses receiving a content specific signal and issuing control signals to the content application head such that the content

Application/Control Number: 10/586,565 Page 3

Art Unit: 4152

application head is actuated to apply the content onto the surface of the beverage (column 3, lines 11-26, the system is actuated upon the activation signal when the beverage is ready to have content applied, and the signal is specific to the amount of foam desired).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were

Art Unit: 4152

made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 9. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ben-Matitayhu (US # 5,795,395).
- 10. **Regarding claim 7**, Ben-Matitayhu discloses a method for applying content on the surface of a foodstuff comprising:
 - b. Agitating the surface of the foodstuff (by applying edible colorant from an inkjet printer (column 2 lines 15-16, column 1 lines 22-23), in a pattern correlated to the content (column 1, lines 25-30) by using a content application head (column 1, line 61).
- 11. **Regarding claim 8**, Ben-Matitayhu further discloses receiving a content specific signal and issuing control signals to the content application head such that the content application head is actuated to apply content onto the surface of the foodstuff (column 4, lines 38-67, the signal to actuate the content application head is given when the foodstuff is an appropriate distance from the content application head, said signal specific to the size of the foodstuff to have content applied on its surface).

Art Unit: 4152

12. Although the process of Ben-Matitayhu is not explicitly disclosed to be used for beverages, it would have been obvious to use a method for applying content to the surface of a foodstuff to apply content to the surface of a beverage, since all the elements were known at the time of the invention and could have been combined to obtain predictable results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW KRAUSE whose telephone number is (571)270-7094. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5, off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Del Sole can be reached on (571)272-1130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,565 Page 6

Art Unit: 4152

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ANDREW KRAUSE/

Examiner, Art Unit 4152

/Joseph S. Del Sole/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4152